

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722)
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard
San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5079
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: eumi.choi@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 09-00717 JF
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS
v.)	HEARING AND TO EXCLUDE TIME
)	FROM DECEMBER 16, 2009, THROUGH
MARCO ANTONIO BARBOSA, and)	JANUARY 20, 2010 FROM THE SPEEDY
MARIA GUADALUPE BARBOSA,)	TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
)	3161(h)(7)(A),(B))
Defendants.)	

An indictment was returned in this case on July 15, 2009. Status hearings have been held on September 9, 2009 and October 14, 2009. At the October 14, 2009 hearing, the Court set a status hearing on November 18, 2009, per the agreement of the parties. Per a stipulation by the parties, the November 18, 2009 status hearing was continued until December 16, 2009. Time has been excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow counsel reasonable time for effective preparation.

At this time, the parties stipulate to an order to continue the scheduled December 16, 2009 status hearing until January 20, 2010, and to exclude time from December 16, 2009,

//

1 through January 20, 2010. Counsel for defendants request the time for effective preparation.
2 Moreover, the government anticipates a superseding indictment in this case.

3 The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time
4 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served
5 by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy
6 trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would
7 unreasonably deny counsel for defendants the reasonable time necessary for effective
8 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9
10 DATED: December 14, 2009

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

11
12 _____/s/_____
EUMI L. CHOI
13 Assistant United States Attorney

14
15 _____/s/_____
LARA VINNARD
16 Assistant Federal Defender for Marco A. Barbosa

17 _____/s/_____
PETER LEEMING, ESQ.
18 Defense Counsel for Maria G. Barbosa

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from December 16, 2009 through January 20, 2010, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendants and the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12/15/09


JEFFREY FOGEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE